UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,089	10/04/2005	Joachim Hoenes	ROCHE-P003	4169	
	7590 08/18/200 NIELS LLP / ROCHE		EXAMINER		
	ERIDIAN STREET	OMGBA, ESSAMA			
SUITE 2700 INDIANAPOLI	IS, IN 46204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3726		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			08/18/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)							
		10/552,089		HOENES ET AL.					
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Essama Om	-	3726				
<i> The</i> Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this commur oly	nication appe	ears on the d	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHICHEVI - Extensions o after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ER IS LONGER, FROM THE N f time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this com for reply is specified above, the maximum s ly within the set or extended period for reply eived by the Office later than three months t term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period wil y will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS 6(a). In no event Il apply and will e cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION , however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the ation to become ABANDONE	J. hely filed the mailing date of this c ○ (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠ Resn	onsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>26 Ma</i>	v 2009						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 May 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
/ <u>—</u>		<i>7</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of	Claims								
4)⊠ Clain	n(s) <u>2-21</u> is/are pending in the	application.							
4a) O	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u></u> is/are allowed.								
·	n(s) is/are objected to.								
•	n(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election rec	uirement.					
Application Pa	apers								
9)∏ The s	pecification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.	_						
•	rawing(s) filed on is/are			objected to by the E	Examiner.				
· ·	cant may not request that any obje		-	-					
				-	* *	FR 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice of Dr. 3) Information	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) /Mail Date	PTO-948)	_	l) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da b) Notice of Informal P b) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/552,089 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2-10, 12-18, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yuzhakov et al. (US 2002/0168290) in view of Kuhr et al. (US Patent 7,396,334).

With regards to claims 18 and 21, Yuzhakov et al. discloses a method for producing combined puncturing and measuring devices for detection of an analyte in liquid comprising a support 8 and a detection element 12 (see abstract and paragraph [0010]), the method comprising forming recesses (spaces between elements 212 in figure 6A) which define puncturing points 212 on one face of a band shape support material, applying a detection element 206, and separating individual puncturing/measuring disposable bodies singly from the band-shaped support material at separating lines (fig. 6A and paragraph [0108]. Although Yuzhakov et al. does not explicitly disclose sterilizing the puncturing points and/or the band-shaped support material, however it is known to sterilize puncturing points of lancet devices at the time of manufacture as attested by Kuhr et al., see column 8, lines 54-62 and column 9, lines 10-11. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have sterilized the puncturing and measuring devices of

Yuzhakov et al., in light of the teachings of Kuhr et al., in order to prevent infection during puncturing of the skin.

Regarding claim 2, see channel 238.

Regarding claims 3-5, see figures 6A-6C.

Regarding claim 6, see channel 238 and paragraph [0082].

Regarding claims 7 and 8, Official Notice is taken in that depressions with a depression base which has a triangular contour are old and well known in the art, as is forming depressions by punching or cutting out material

Regarding claim 9, see figure 6A.

Regarding claim 10, Applicant should note that it is conventional to ground such puncturing points.

Regarding claims 12, 13 and 16, see paragraph [0082].

Regarding claims 14, 15 and 17, see figure 6A.

Regarding claim 20, applicant should note that the channels in the method of Yuzhakov et al. can be produced by embossing.

3. Claims 11 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yuzhakov et al./Kuhr et al. as applied to claims 18 and 21 above, and further in view of Schenk et al. (US Patent 5,397,334).

Yuzhakov et al./Kuhr et al. discloses a method for producing combined puncturing and measuring devices as shown above including a detection device applied to the puncturing/measuring bodies after the puncturing and measuring devices have been sterilized (col. 8, lines 54-62 of Kuhr et al.). Although Yuzhakov et al./Kuhr et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,089 Page 4

Art Unit: 3726

does not explicitly disclose providing a soft plastic cover on the puncturing points of the puncturing devices, however it is known to cover the puncturing points of such devices with a soft plastic cover in order to preserve the sterility of the puncturing points as attested by Schenk et al., see column 1, lines 54-61 and column 5, lines 31-35. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have provided a soft plastic cover on the puncturing point of the device of Yuzhakov et al./Kuhr et al., in light of the teachings of Schenk et al., in order to preserve the sterility of the puncturing point.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed May 26, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that Yuzhakov et al. does not disclose sterilizing the puncturing points, the examiner submits that it is known to sterilize puncturing points of lancet devices at the time of manufacture as attested by Kuhr et al. or Schenk et al.

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,089 Page 5

Art Unit: 3726

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgba whose telephone number is (571) 272-4532. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6:30, 1st Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Essama Omgba/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3726

eo August 15, 2009